ARYAVART SHODH VIKAS PATRIKA
RNI TITLED NO. UPBIL04292
RNI REG. NO. UPBIL/2014/66218 **PP. No.- 20-24**

I S S N NO. - 2347 – 2944 (Print)
e–I S S N NO.- 2582 – 2454 (Online)
Vol.-19, No.-II, Issues-36, YEAR-April-June. 2025

Integral Humanism : Relevance in the Contemporary World

DR. Diwakar Kumar Kashyap

Post Doctoral Fellow (ICPR-Patna University); UGC NET-JRF in Philosophy; UGC NET in Buddhist, Jain, Gandhian and Peace Studies, Patna (Bihar) India

Received-05.04.2025 **Revised-12.04.2025** **Accepted-18.04.2025** **E-mail :dibukashyap@gmail.com**

Abstract: ***In the current global milieu, societies across continents are grappling with a peculiar paradox. While unprecedented technological progress has enhanced production capacities, increased life expectancy, and expanded connectivity, it has simultaneously bred alienation, ecological degradation, widening inequality, and a profound moral crisis. Conventional indicators of national prosperity such as Gross Domestic Product have failed to reflect the true quality of human life, which is increasingly burdened by emotional fatigue, cultural dislocation, and spiritual emptiness. This dissonance between material advancement and existential decline has prompted thinkers to seek civilizational alternatives that transcend economic determinism and mechanistic ideologies. One such alternative is the philosophy of Integral Humanism, or Ekatma Manav Darshan, articulated by Pandit Deendayal Upadhyaya.***

Key words: Integral Humanism, Deendayal Upadhyaya, Holistic Develapment, Dharma, Cultural Ethos.

Integral Humanism was proposed as a civilizational framework rooted in India's ancient wisdom, but equipped to address contemporary socio-economic challenges. Pandit Upadhyaya sought to offer an indigenous response to the then-dominant ideologies of capitalism and communism, both of which had originated in Europe and failed to resonate with the Indian psyche and its civilizational ethos. Rather than borrowing models wholesale from the West, he proposed a vision in which the human being was seen as a composite whole, comprising body (śharīra), mind (manaḥ), intellect (buddhi), and soul (ātman). He argued that a sustainable and just society cannot be built by addressing merely the physical or economic aspects of human life, but must also engage with moral, intellectual and spiritual dimensions.

This holistic approach echoes the wisdom of the Bhagavad Gītā, where Lord Krishna advises:

स्वधर्मे निधनं श्रेयः परधर्मो भयावहः।
स्वभावनियतं कर्म कुर्वन्नाप्नोति किल्बिषम्॥ (भगवद्गीता 3.35)

"It is better to die performing one's own dharma than to follow the dharma of another. The action that accords with one's own nature does not bring sin."

This śloka highlights the importance of aligning one's actions with one's inner nature and rightful duty. Pandit Upadhyaya, drawing from this principle, argued that societies too must evolve in accordance with their innate dharma, and that blindly imitating alien frameworks leads not only to dysfunction but also to moral decay. Integral Humanism, therefore, asserts that the development of individuals, communities, and nations must be harmonious with their cultural soul, natural inclinations and ethical commitments.

The roots of this philosophy are deeply embedded in the spiritual and metaphysical traditions of India. Unlike many modern ideologies that tend to isolate the human being from nature, society, or the divine, Indian philosophy has consistently upheld the interconnectedness of all life forms. The Rigveda affirms this vision of unity in diversity with the declaration:

यत्र विश्वं भवत्येकनीडम्। (ऋग्वेद 1.164.18)

"Where the entire world becomes a single nest."

This Vedic sentiment is not merely poetic but profoundly ontological. It affirms that all existence is part of a larger, organic whole where the individual is neither a rival nor a solitary atom, but a responsible participant in a cosmic family. Pandit Upadhyaya's Integral Humanism places this vision of interdependence at the heart of socio-political organisation.

According to this view, a human being is not simply a biological organism or economic actor. The physical body serves to sustain life, but cannot alone define its purpose. The mind is the seat of emotion and desire, and requires ethical guidance. The intellect enables rational understanding and discernment, but must be directed by wisdom. The soul, or ātman, is the inner light that confers dignity, consciousness, and the potential for transcendence. When any one of these dimensions is neglected, the individual becomes fragmented and society disoriented. The prevailing ideologies of the twentieth century, argued Upadhyaya, failed precisely because they ignored this integrality. Capitalism, by focusing primarily on consumption and profit, disregarded emotional welfare and spiritual balance. Socialism, by elevating economic equality and class struggle, often compromised personal freedom, creativity, and moral responsibility.

I S S N NO. - 2347 – 2944 (Print)
e–I S S N NO.- 2582 – 2454 (Online)
Vol.-19, No.-II, Issues-36, YEAR-April-June. 2025

Integral Humanism thus rejects the notion of man as a purely economic being. It insists on a synthesis between the material and the spiritual, the individual and the collective, the local and the universal. It does not advocate ascetic renunciation nor does it glorify unbridled indulgence. Rather, it calls for a balanced life in accordance with dharma—a term which itself encompasses law, duty, morality, and the sustaining cosmic order. The emphasis on dharma is central to Integral Humanism. It provides a moral framework within which politics, economy, education, and technology must operate. Dharma is not to be confused with religion in the narrow, sectarian sense; it is an inclusive and universal principle.

The Mahopaniṣad expresses this idea through the oft-quoted verse:

अयं निजः परो वेति गणना लघुचेतसाम्।
उदारचारितानां तु वसुधैव कुटुम्बकम्॥ (महोपनिषद् VI.71)

"This is mine, and that is someone else's-such thinking is for narrow-minded people. For those of noble character, the whole world is one family."

This concept of Vasudhaiva Kutumbakam forms the ethical backbone of Integral Humanism. It implies that a just society is one in which universal compassion and respect are practiced, not merely preached. In contrast to the competitive individualism of liberalism or the antagonistic collectivism of Marxism, Integral Humanism envisions a cooperative social order grounded in familial values, mutual obligation, and shared destiny.

Pandit Upadhyaya further elaborated that every society has its own chiti, or cultural soul, which shapes its worldview, values, institutions, and aspirations. A nation that forgets its chiti becomes rootless, vulnerable to intellectual colonisation and moral disintegration. The postcolonial Indian state, he observed, had adopted external models of development that neither resonated with its civilisational character nor addressed the needs of its people. In his words: "Western ideologies have failed to comprehend the completeness of man. Hence, their theories lead either to material opulence with spiritual poverty or to social uniformity with suppression of freedom."

Integral Humanism, by contrast, does not advocate returning to the past, but rather evolving a framework that harmonises tradition with modernity. It welcomes technology but insists that technology must serve ethical and cultural goals. It values economic progress but places it within a moral and ecological matrix. It promotes individual freedom but within the bounds of collective welfare. It is neither utopian nor escapist, but a grounded philosophy aimed at actualising the full potential of human life.

The relevance of Integral Humanism is not limited to Indian society alone. In fact, its emphasis on harmony, decentralisation, sustainability, and spiritual development finds resonance in several global currents of thought. The rise of the ecological movement, the popularity of holistic education, the revival of local economies, and the interest in mindfulness and well-being all point toward a growing recognition that the mechanical, reductionist paradigms of the industrial age are no longer adequate. Across the world, thinkers and activists are rediscovering the importance of culture, community, conscience, and care. In this landscape, Integral Humanism offers not merely an Indian alternative but a universal ethic of wholeness.

To sum up, the first principles of Integral Humanism lie in the conviction that the human being is a composite entity whose fulfilment cannot be ensured by material means alone. It insists on a dharma-based order that promotes harmony between the individual and society, nature and civilisation, the secular and the sacred. It provides a comprehensive worldview that critiques both capitalist individualism and socialist collectivism, offering instead a model that is culturally grounded, morally guided, and spiritually inspired.

The economic vision of Integral Humanism is rooted in decentralisation, dignity of labour, and the harmonious satisfaction of human needs rather than the endless pursuit of wants. It rejects the mechanistic utilitarianism of capitalism and the state-controlled regimentation of socialism. In its place, it proposes an economy that serves the integral development of the human personality. The goal of economic activity, according to this view, is not accumulation but sustenance, creativity, self-reliance, and service. It recognises that while economic systems must generate prosperity, they must not do so at the cost of human dignity, social cohesion, or ecological stability.

Upadhyaya advocated for an economy based on the principle of Antyodaya—the upliftment of the last person in society. He envisioned a model where wealth is not concentrated in a few hands but dispersed across families, villages, and local enterprises. His emphasis on gram-swaraj, or village self-rule, drew inspiration from Mahatma Gandhi but was infused with a deeper metaphysical conviction: that every local community is a living unit of the national organism and must have the autonomy to develop according to its own rhythm. Thus, Integral Humanism supports small-scale industry, cooperative farming, local banking,

I S S N NO. - 2347 – 2944 (Print)
e–I S S N NO.- 2582 – 2454 (Online)
Vol.-19, No.-II, Issues-36, YEAR-April-June. 2025

and decentralised governance. It welcomes technology, but only insofar as it enhances self-sufficiency and sustainability.

This decentralised vision finds resonance in contemporary movements such as localisation, circular economy, and the growing critique of globalisation's homogenisation effects. The COVID-19 pandemic further underscored the vulnerability of centralised supply chains and the importance of resilient local economies. Scholars such as E.F. Schumacher, whose work Small is Beautiful (1973) emphasised appropriate technology and Buddhist economics, echo many of the insights of Integral Humanism, even if from different philosophical traditions. In the Indian context, Upadhyaya's ideas align with the present emphasis on Atmanirbhar Bharat (Self-Reliant India), provided that self-reliance is interpreted not as isolation but as moral and cultural autonomy.

Integral Humanism also anticipates and addresses the deep ecological crisis of our times. Modern industrial civilisation, driven by consumerism and competitive extraction, has brought the planet to the brink of irreversible damage. Climate change, biodiversity loss, pollution, and habitat destruction are all symptoms of a worldview that treats nature as a commodity rather than as a sacred continuum. In contrast, the dharmic vision at the heart of Integral Humanism affirms that nature (prakṛti) is not separate from man but a manifestation of the same universal consciousness.

This is evident in countless verses of the Vedic corpus that revere the elements as divine:

माता भूमिः पुत्रोऽहं पृथिव्याः। (अथर्ववेद 12.1.12)

"The Earth is my mother, and I am her son."

Such a worldview generates not only respect but gratitude, restraint, and responsibility. Upadhyaya's insistence on harmony between man and nature stems from this civilisational insight. Environmental balance, therefore, is not a technical issue but an ethical and spiritual imperative. Integral Humanism proposes that economic growth must be ecologically calibrated, that consumption must be guided by simplicity, and that development must not violate the natural order.

In this regard, it resonates with the concept of doughnut economics proposed by economist Kate Raworth, which argues for meeting human needs within planetary boundaries. Similarly, the principle of Sarvodaya-the welfare of allimplies an economy and polity that serve both the weakest human and the weakest ecological system. The application of Integral Humanism to environmental policy would entail investing in renewable energy, conserving traditional water systems, promoting organic agriculture, and integrating indigenous ecological knowledge into modern science.

Education is another area where Integral Humanism offers a radical reorientation. The dominant model of education today tends to prioritise utility over wisdom, employment over enlightenment. Students are often trained to become skilled workers or efficient professionals, but rarely inspired to become ethical citizens or integrated individuals. This imbalance stems from the fragmentation of knowledge and the secularisation of learning spaces. Pandit Upadhyaya believed that true education must cultivate the full range of human faculties-body, emotion, intellect, and spirit. It must nurture not only knowledge but character, not only skill but purpose.

Integral Humanism views education as a process of inner development as well as social preparation. It must connect learners to their cultural roots, moral traditions, and civilisational responsibilities. It should encourage inquiry, creativity, and compassion. In the context of India, this means giving due place to Sanskrit, Indian philosophy, history, and arts alongside scientific and technological instruction. It also requires rethinking pedagogy to make it experiential, value-based, and community-linked. The New Education Policy (NEP) of 2020, with its emphasis on flexibility, foundational literacy, multidisciplinary learning, and Indian knowledge systems, partially reflects this vision, though its implementation remains a challenge.

Politically, Integral Humanism calls for a moral renaissance. It does not view the state as a mere manager of resources or enforcer of laws, but as an instrument of ethical leadership and collective self-expression. Governance must not be based on power games, identity manipulation, or short-term populism. Rather, it must be anchored in rajdharma—the duty of rulers to uphold justice, serve the people, and maintain harmony. The role of the government is to facilitate individual and community flourishing, not to centralise control. Decentralised democracy, rooted in panchayat raj, is thus not merely administrative convenience but a philosophical necessity. People must be active participants in shaping their destiny, not passive recipients of policy.

Integral Humanism also advocates for nishkama karma-action without selfish attachment-as the ideal of public service. The Bhagavad Gītā reminds us:

I S S N NO. - 2347 – 2944 (Print)
e–I S S N NO.- 2582 – 2454 (Online)
Vol.-19, No.-II, Issues-36, YEAR-April-June. 2025

कर्मण्येवाधिकारस्ते मा फलेषु कदाचन।
मा कर्मफलहेतुर्भूर्मा ते सङ्गोऽस्त्वकर्मणि॥ (भगवद्गीता 2.47)

"You have the right to action alone, never to its fruits. Let not the fruits of action be your motive, nor let your attachment be to inaction."

Globally, Integral Humanism offers a much-needed alternative to the dominant models of progress, which have failed to bring peace, equality, or sustainability. The ongoing crises from climate change and pandemics to rising authoritarianism and cultural conflict are not merely technical failures but the result of spiritual bankruptcy. The world urgently requires a new paradigm that honours both unity and diversity, prosperity and purpose, science and spirituality. Integral Humanism, with its vision of the world as one family, can contribute significantly to the emerging discourse on global ethics and civilisational dialogue.

In international relations, this philosophy promotes mutual respect, cultural exchange, and ethical diplomacy rather than hegemony or exploitation. It supports global cooperation on climate change, public health, and education, but insists that such cooperation be founded on mutual dignity, not moral relativism or cultural erasure. In this sense, Integral Humanism is both national and universal, rooted in India's spiritual heritage yet relevant to all humanity.

In the 21st century, humanity is confronted with complex and rapidly evolving challenges that transcend the boundaries of nation-states and conventional ideologies. From the rise of artificial intelligence and digital surveillance to mental health epidemics, cultural homogenisation, and civilisational conflicts, the need for a holistic philosophical framework is more urgent than ever. Pandit Deendayal Upadhyaya's vision of Integral Humanism, articulated over half a century ago, continues to speak with surprising clarity to these new dilemmas. It does so not as a dated ideology, but as a living philosophy rooted in the understanding of the human being as a moral, social, and spiritual entity embedded in an organic community and cosmic order.

Education systems today are increasingly outcome-driven and narrowly specialised, often severed from ethical reflection or civilisational understanding. Students are prepared to perform but not necessarily to reflect or to live meaningfully. Integral Humanism redefines education as vidyā, not merely the acquisition of information, but the realisation of wisdom. The ancient Indian conception of knowledge, which integrates śravaṇa (listening), manana (reflection), and nididhyāsana (contemplation), is remarkably aligned with the principles of Integral Humanism. In contemporary terms, this would entail an education that fosters curiosity, critical thinking, cultural awareness, and moral discernment. It also calls for the reintegration of humanities and philosophy into mainstream education, which has increasingly become technocratic and transactional.

Integral Humanism, as envisioned by Pandit Deendayal Upadhyaya, is far more than a political ideology or economic theory. It is a comprehensive worldview rooted in the timeless wisdom of Indian civilisation and yet attuned to the needs of a modern, diverse, and evolving society. At a time when the world is witnessing an erosion of moral certainties, increasing ecological distress, and a fragmented understanding of human fulfilment, the need for such a civilisational philosophy becomes particularly urgent.

In a world that increasingly experiences spiritual fatigue and moral confusion, the civilisational imagination of India expressed in phrases like 'Vasudhaiva Kutumbakam', 'Sarve Bhavantu Sukhinah' and 'Lokasangrahartham' can offer a rejuvenating alternative. These ancient ideals must not remain ceremonial, they must be revitalised in economic models, educational curricula, social media ethics, and international diplomacy. Integral Humanism, with its grounding in Indian metaphysics and modern concerns, provides the architecture for such a renaissance.

The closing verses of the Bhagavad Gītā encapsulate the vision that Integral Humanism seeks to embody:

यत्र योगेश्वरः कृष्णो यत्र पार्थो धनुर्धरः।
तत्र श्रीर्विजयो भूतिर्ध्रुवा नीतिर्मतिर्मम॥ (भगवद्गीता 18.78)

"Where there is Krishna, the master of yoga, and Arjuna, the wielder of the bow, there lies prosperity, victory, well-being and firm policy, so is my conviction."

This is not just a poetic conclusion but a philosophical affirmation, true victory lies in the harmony of wisdom and action, contemplation and responsibility, spirit and strength. Integral Humanism calls for such a balance, not merely in personal life, but in the life of the nation and the global community.

To carry this vision forward into the 21st century will require intellectual honesty, moral courage, and institutional creativity. The challenges are immense, but so is the opportunity to build a society that is

I S S N NO. - 2347 – 2944 (Print)
e–I S S N NO.- 2582 – 2454 (Online)
Vol.-19, No.-II, Issues-36, YEAR-April-June. 2025

not only economically just or technologically advanced, but spiritually centred, culturally confident, and ethically governed. As the world turns once again to India for solutions rooted in ancient wisdom and modern insight, Integral Humanism offers itself as both compass and lamp, a guide for nations, communities and individuals seeking wholeness in a fragmented age..

REFERENCE

1. Upadhyaya, Deendayal. Integral Humanism. New Delhi: Bharatiya Jan Sangh Publications, 1965.
2. Sharma, Arvind. Hinduism and Human Rights: A Conceptual Approach. Oxford University Press, 2004.
3. Gandhi, M.K. Hind Swaraj. Ahmedabad: Navajivan Trust, 1938.
4. Raworth, Kate. Doughnut Economics: Seven Ways to Think Like a 21st-Century Economist. Chelsea Green Publishing, 2017.
5. Schumacher, E.F. Small Is Beautiful: A Study of Economics as if People Mattered. Blond & Briggs, 1973.
6. Kalam, A.P.J. Abdul and Rajan, Y.S. India 2020: A Vision for the New Millennium. Penguin Books, 1998.
7. Sen, Amartya. Development as Freedom. Oxford University Press, 1999.
8. Tharoor, Shashi. Why I Am a Hindu. Aleph Book Company, 2018.
9. Kapur1983.
10. Pavan K. Varma. Being Indian: Inside the Real India. Penguin India, 2004.
11. Mishra, Pankaj. Age of Anger: A History of the Present. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2017.
12. Rao, R. Balasubramaniam. I, the Citizen: Unraveling the Power of Citizen Engagement. Notion Press, 2020.
13. UNESCO. Rethinking Education: Towards a Global Common Good? Paris: UNESCO Publishing, 2015.
14. Devesh. Rethinking Public Institutions in India. Oxford University Press, 2017.
15. Bhushan, Ratanlal. Dharmic Ecology: Indian Philosophy and the Environment. Varanasi: Sampurnanand Sanskrit University, 2015.
16. Nandy, Ashis. The Intimate Enemy: Loss and Recovery of Self Under Colonialism. Oxford University Press,

*****